



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/745,919	12/21/2000	Thomas R. Bayerl	Sprint 1501 (4000-02700) 6827	
7	7590 03/08/2004		EXAMINER	
Steven J. Funk			DANG, KHANH NMN	
Sprint Law Department 8140 Ward Parkway 6450 Sprint Parkway Kansas City, MO 64114 Jun And Park K5 66251		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Kansas City,	40 64114 B. Ver/A	~1 Park KS 66251	2111	¥

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/745,919	BAYERL ET AL.		
,	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Khanh Dang	2111		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 26 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in		
<u> </u>	EPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data wave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE attention to the conversion of the distance of the dista	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in		
 (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	onths after the mailing date of the final rej	ection, even if timely filed, may reduce any		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:			
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);			
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment		
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which were newly		
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: 1-12.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).			
10. Other:	E.	nas pom		
		Khanh Dang Primary Examine		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

÷.





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the Examiner maintains his position which was clearly explained in previous Office Actions that claims 1-12 are not allowable over the prior art of record. Further explanation will be provided if necessary and in due course in form of Examiner's Answer.